

February 16, 1989      LB 318, 440

you would adopt the...and advance, excuse me, LB 318 onto Select File.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Thank you, Senator Conway. We're voting on the advancement of LB 318. All those in favor vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Will the senators please return to their seats and vote either aye or nay on the advancement of LB 318. We're having a very difficult time this morning. Appreciate it. Have you all voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 25 ayes, 0 nays on the advancement of 318, Madam President.

SENATOR LABEDZ: LB 318 is advanced. Mr. Clerk, LB 440.

CLERK: LB 440, Madam President, offered by Senator Hannibal. (Read title.) The bill was introduced on January 13, referred to General Affairs, advanced to General File. I have no amendments to the bill, Madam President.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Hannibal, on the advancement of LB 440.

SENATOR HANNIBAL: Thank you, Madam President and members of the Legislature. LB 440, as described to you, does a very simple thing. It increases the penalty for the manufacturer of false ID from a Class III misdemeanor, for a first offense right now, a Class II misdemeanor, second offense right now, to make it a Class I misdemeanor for first and all subsequent offenses. It's a simple bill. I know we have very few people here. It was not opposed in committee. It came out unanimous in committee. It is trying to get at the problems with under age individuals purchasing liquor, but it's going through the supply side, and that is to try to put a little heavier hammer on those that are making and distributing false ID for a business. I would be happy to respond to any questions or concerns, but I don't see a whole lot of interest, so I'll just move for its advancement.

SENATOR LABEDZ: Senator Hall, on the advancement of LB 440.

SENATOR HALL: Madam Chair, members of the Legislature, I rise in support of Senator Hannibal's bill. It was brought before the General Affairs Committee and I did question the increase in penalty because it is a rather drastic jump. But, as Senator Hannibal pointed out, it deals with those who are manufacturing the identification and not with the young people who are using